

APPLICATION NO.

10/010,566

23628

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FILING DATE

12/07/2001

7590

FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA

600 ATLANTIC AVENUE

BOSTON, MA 02210-2211

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Michael J. Markowski N0391/7007 SJH 4319 10/19/2005 **EXAMINER** WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC CHARLES, DEBRA F

3624

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/010,566	MARKOWSKI, MICHAEL J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Debra F. Charles	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	August 2005.	•	
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.	,		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, , , , ,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		* *	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊡ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/2003.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Arguments

As the attorney's arguments, the claim objection is being reversed.
 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1,4,5, 6,9,10, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masand et al. (U.S.Pub.
 2002/0095362A1), Ray et al. (6018722A) and Daniel et al. (4965772A).

Re claims 1, 6 and 11: Masand et al. disclose apparatus(para. 0042), a computer program product comprising a computer-readable medium having encoded therein computer program instructions for execution by a server computer(para. 0042, 0048), and a method for assisting an individual using a client computer to analyze a domain-specific parameter(para. 0047, 0057-0064), comprising: a server computer executing computer program instructions to (1) receive from the client computer an identification of a parameter to be analyzed(para. 0026,0027),

Art Unit: 3624

(2) obtain from a data source a current value for the parameter(para. 0040, 0041).

Masand et al. fail to teach provide to the client computer an evaluation of the current value and an explanation of a possible significance of that evaluation. However, Ray et al. does teach an expert system that manages an account and provides interpretation of economic and price data retrieved for the client computer(Fig. 1, 2, 4, 7, col. 1, line 55-col 3, line 35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Masand et al. to include the step of using an expert system to provide an evaluation. The motivation to combine these references is to explain to the user the implications of certain events.

Masand et al. and Ray et al. fail to teach by selecting text from among a plurality of selectable text templates and inserting values into the selected template. However, Daniel et al. does teach generating a series of messages by selecting prefab text and placing it on the display(Abstract, col. 2, lines 1-55). Therefore, it would have

Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Masand et al. and Ray et al. to include the step of generating a series of messages by selecting prefab text and placing it on the display. The motivation to combine these references is to explain to the user the implications of certain events.

Re claims 4, 9, and 14. Masand et al. disclose(s) the claimed invention except to provide a reference to one or more additional tools or parameters that might beneficially be studied next However, Ray et al. does teach an expert system that manages an account and provides interpretation of economic and price data retrieved for the client computer(Fig. 1, 2, 4, 7, col. 1, line 55-col 3, line 35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Masand et al. to include the step of using an expert system to provide an evaluation. The motivation to combine these references is to explain to the user the implications of certain events.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,566

Art Unit: 3624

Re claims 5, 10 and 15. Masand et al. disclose(s) parameter is a performance characteristic of a publicly traded security(para. 0026,0027).

2. Claims 2,3,7,8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masand et al., Ray et al. and Daniel et al. as applied to claim 1, 6 and 11 above, and further in view of Bieganski et al. (U.S.PAT. 6334127B1) and Becker et al.(6023280A).

Masand et al., Ray et al. and Daniel et al. disclose(s) the claimed invention except dividing into broad bands a range the parameter values have experienced over a predetermined period of time, and relating the current value in terms of said bands. And wherein providing an evaluation further includes providing a possible or likely significance of the value falling within a specific band. However, in the Abstract, col. 8, line 1 – col. 13, line 10, Bieganski et al. disclose frequency distributions which are ranges of outcomes. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Masand et al., Ray et al. and Daniel et al. based on the teachings of Bieganski et al. The motivation to combine these references is to

Application/Control Number: 10/010,566

Art Unit: 3624

effectively and efficiently enable suggested strategies based on existing parameters.

Masand et al., Ray et al., Daniel et al. and Bieganski et al. fail to teach mapping the current value into one of said bands and textually. However, Becker et al. does teach mapping values to specific bands and labeling those bands with text(Abstract, col. 2, lines 1-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Masand et al., Ray et al., Daniel et al. and Bieganski et al. to include the step of mapping values to specific bands and labeling those bands with text. The motivation to combine these references is effectively and efficiently associate values and text to certain bands.

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of

this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra F. Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272 6791. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A. Millin can be reached on (571) 272 6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Debra F. Charles Examiner Art Unit 3624

•

Ument Melli

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600